## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

SIDNEY ALLISON MALONE, III, #219 954,	) )
Plaintiff,	) )
v.	) CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:20-CV-71-ALB
SERGEANT LAKEITH B. THOMPKINS, et al.,	) [WO] )
Defendants	) )

## RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff, a state inmate, filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action on January 30, 2020. Upon initiation of this case, however, Plaintiff did not file the \$350.00 filing fee and \$50.00 administrative fee applicable when a plaintiff is not proceeding *in forma pauperis*, nor did he submit an original affidavit in support of a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* accompanied by the required documentation from the inmate account clerk. The court, therefore, did not have the information necessary to determine whether Plaintiff should be allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this case and entered an order on February 13, 2020, requiring Plaintiff to provide the court with this information on or before February 27, 2020. Doc. 2 at 1. The court specifically cautioned Plaintiff that failure to comply with the February 13 order would result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed. Doc. 2 at 2.

As of the present date, Plaintiff has filed nothing in response to the aforementioned order. The court, therefore, concludes that this case is due to be dismissed. *See Moon v. Newsome*, 863 F.2d 835, 837 (11th Cir. 1989) (As a general rule, where a litigant has been forewarned, dismissal for failure to obey a court order is not an abuse of discretion.).

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that this case be

DISMISSED without prejudice for Plaintiff's failures to comply with the orders of the court and

to prosecute this action.

It is

ORDERED on or before April 8, 2020, Plaintiff may file an objection to the

Recommendation. Any objection filed must specifically identify the findings in the Magistrate

Judge's Recommendation to which Plaintiff objects. Frivolous, conclusive or general objections

will not be considered by the District Court. Plaintiff is advised this Recommendation is not a

final order of the court and, therefore, it is not appealable.

Failure to file written a objection to the proposed findings and recommendations in the

Magistrate Judge's report shall bar a party from a *de novo* determination by the District Court of

factual findings and legal issues covered in the report and shall "waive the right to challenge on

appeal the district court's order based on unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions" except upon

grounds of plain error if necessary in the interests of justice. 11th Cir. R. 3-1; see Resolution Trust

Co. v. Hallmark Builders, Inc., 996 F.2d 1144, 1149 (11th Cir. 1993); Henley v. Johnson, 885 F.2d

790, 794 (11th Cir. 1989).

Done, this 25<sup>th</sup> day of March 2020.

/s/ Charles S. Coody

CHARLES S. COODY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE